

On Computability and Learnability of the Pumping Lemma Function

Dariusz Kalociński

University of Warsaw, Poland

March 11, 2014

8th International Conference, LATA 2014

Structure

- ▶ what is the pumping lemma function?
- ▶ how complex is it?
 - ▶ computable?
 - ▶ learnable?
- ▶ exact placement of the function in the arithmetical hierarchy

Structure

- ▶ what is the pumping lemma function?
- ▶ how complex is it?
 - ▶ computable?
 - ▶ learnable?
- ▶ exact placement of the function in the arithmetical hierarchy
- ▶ on the way: we get a „natural“ Π_2^0 -complete problem
- ▶ final remarks

Pumping Lemma (for Regular Languages)

For regular $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ ($\exists c > 0$)

Pumping Lemma (for Regular Languages)

For regular $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ ($\exists c > 0$)

$(\forall \omega \in L, |\omega| \geq c) (\exists \alpha\beta\gamma):$

- ▶ $\alpha\beta\gamma = \omega$
- ▶ $|\alpha\beta| \leq c$
- ▶ $\beta \neq \varepsilon$
- ▶ $(\forall i \in \mathbb{N}) \alpha\beta^i\gamma \in L$

Pumping Lemma (for Regular Languages)

For regular $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ ($\exists c > 0$)

$(\forall \omega \in L, |\omega| \geq c) (\exists \alpha\beta\gamma):$

- ▶ $\alpha\beta\gamma = \omega$
- ▶ $|\alpha\beta| \leq c$
- ▶ $\beta \neq \varepsilon$
- ▶ $(\forall i \in \mathbb{N}) \alpha\beta^i\gamma \in L$

- ▶ $\phi(L, c)$ - formula in yellow box
- ▶ $\phi(L, c)$ means: for given L , c is the witness for $\exists c$
- ▶ c satisfying $\phi(L, c)$ is called a pumping constant for L

Problem

Input: arbitrary L

Output: the least pumping constant for L (if exists)

- ▶ we focus on r.e. languages
- ▶ W_e = the domain of the e^{th} algorithm
- ▶ L is r.e. $\Leftrightarrow \exists e (L = W_e)$
- ▶ $R(e, c) \Leftrightarrow_{df} c$ is a pumping constant for W_e

Pumping Lemma Function

$$f(e) = \begin{cases} \text{the least } c \text{ st. } R(e, c) & \text{if } \exists c R(e, c) \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Questions

$R(e, c) \Leftrightarrow_{df} c$ is a pumping constant for W_e

$$f(e) = \begin{cases} \text{the least } c \text{ st. } R(e, c) & \text{if } \exists c R(e, c) \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$Graph(f) =$ the graph of $f = \{(x, y) : f(x) = y\}$

How complex are f and R ?

- ▶ is f computable?
- ▶ is $\overline{Graph(f)}$ r.e.?
- ▶ is f algorithmically learnable?
 - ▶ if not, how strong oracle we need to make f learnable?
- ▶ how exactly does $Graph(f)$ fit in arithmetical hierarchy?
- ▶ how exactly does R fit in arithmetical hierarchy?

Is f computable?

We need

- ▶ $\text{EMPTY} = \{e \in \mathbb{N} : W_e = \emptyset\}$
- ▶ EMPTY is Π_1^0 -complete
- ▶ \leq_{rec} - reducibility via recursive function
- ▶ $R(e, c) \Leftrightarrow_{df} c$ is a pumping constant for W_e

Lemmas

- ▶ $\text{EMPTY} \leq_{\text{rec}} R$
- ▶ If $R(e, c)$ then $(\forall d > c) R(e, d)$.

Theorem

f is not computable

Proof.

Suppose the contrary. Then R is Σ_1^0 . Let $A \in \Pi_1^0$.
 $A \leq_{\text{rec}} \text{EMPTY} \leq_{\text{rec}} R \in \Sigma_1^0$. Hence, $\Pi_1^0 \subseteq \Sigma_1^0$. \downarrow



Is $\overline{\text{Graph}(f)}$ r.e.?

We need

- ▶ $\text{EMPTY} = \{e \in \mathbb{N} : W_e = \emptyset\}$
- ▶ EMPTY is Π_1^0 -complete
- ▶ \leq_{rec} - reducibility via recursive function
- ▶ $R(e, c) \Leftrightarrow_{\text{df}} c$ is a pumping constant for W_e

Lemmas

- ▶ $\overline{\text{Graph}(f)} \in \Sigma_1^0 \Rightarrow \overline{R} \in \Sigma_1^0$
- ▶ $\overline{\text{EMPTY}} \leq_{\text{rec}} R$

Theorem

$\overline{\text{Graph}(f)}$ is not r.e.

Proof.

Suppose the contrary. By lemma $\overline{R} \in \Sigma_1^0$. Since $\overline{\text{EMPTY}} \leq_{\text{rec}} R$, then $\text{EMPTY} \leq_{\text{rec}} \overline{R}$. Hence, $\Pi_1^0 \subseteq \Sigma_1^0$. \downarrow □

Learnability

Definition

$f : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ (possibly partial) is learnable if there is a total computable function $g_t(\bar{x})$ st. for all $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{N}^k$:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_t(\bar{x}) = f(\bar{x}) \quad , \quad (1)$$

which means that one of the two conditions hold:

- ▶ neither $f(\bar{x})$ nor $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_t(\bar{x})$ exist
- ▶ both $f(\bar{x})$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_t(\bar{x})$ exist and are equal

Example

$$f(x) = 5$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & & 7 & 5 & 5 & 5 & & \\ \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & & \\ g_0(x) & g_1(x) & g_2(x) & g_3(x) & \dots & g_{1487}(x) & g_{1488}(x) & g_{1489}(x) & & & \dots \end{array}$$

Is f learnable?

We need

- ▶ $\text{TOT} = \{e : W_e = \Sigma^*\}$
- ▶ TOT is Π_2^0 -complete
- ▶ **Gold's lemma:** f is learnable $\Leftrightarrow \text{Graph}(f) \in \Sigma_2^0$
- ▶ $R(e, c) \Leftrightarrow_{df}$ c is a pumping constant for W_e

Lemma

$\text{TOT} \leq_{rec} R$

Theorem

f is not learnable

Proof.

Suppose the contrary. Then $\text{Graph}(f) \in \Sigma_2^0$. We have:

$R(x, y) \Leftrightarrow \exists c((x, c) \in \text{Graph}(f) \wedge c \leq y) \Leftrightarrow \exists(\exists\forall \dots \wedge \dots)$. So $R \in \Sigma_2^0$. But by lemma $\text{TOT} \leq_{rec} R$. Hence, $\text{TOT} \in \Sigma_2^0$. \downarrow \square

How complex oracle does make f learnable?

We need

- ▶ HALT = the halting problem = $\{(e, x) : x \in W_e\}$
- ▶ \leq_{bl} - bounded lexicographical order on words
- ▶ **Gold's lemma**: f is learnable $\Leftrightarrow \text{Graph}(f) \in \Sigma_2^0$

Theorem

f is learnable in HALT.

Proof.

$R(e, x) \Leftrightarrow$

$$(\forall \omega) \left\{ \overbrace{[\omega \in W_e \wedge \dots]}^{\text{rec. in HALT}} \Rightarrow (\exists \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq_{bl} \omega) \left[\overbrace{\dots}^{\text{rec.}} \wedge (\forall i) \overbrace{\alpha \beta^i \gamma \in W_e}^{\text{rec. in HALT}} \right] \right\}$$

$R(e, x) \Leftrightarrow \forall [\dots \Rightarrow \forall \dots]$, so $R \in \Pi_1^0$ in HALT.

$$(e, x) \in \text{Graph}(f) \Leftrightarrow \underbrace{R(e, x)}_{\Pi_1^0 \text{ in HALT}} \wedge \underbrace{(\forall y < x) \neg R(e, y)}_{\Sigma_1^0 \text{ in HALT}}$$

Hence, $\text{Graph}(f) \in \Sigma_2^0$ in HALT and f is learnable in HALT. □

How complex is R ?

We need

- ▶ HALT = the halting problem = $\{(e, x) : x \in W_e\}$
- ▶ TOT = $\{e : W_e = \mathbb{N}\}$
- ▶ TOT is Π_2^0 -complete
- ▶ $R(e, c) \Leftrightarrow_{df}$ c is a pumping constant for W_e

Lemma

$TOT \leq_{rec} R$

Theorem

R is Π_2^0 -complete

Proof.

R is Π_2^0 -hard, since $TOT \leq_{rec} R$

$x \in W_e \Leftrightarrow \exists c T(e, x, c)$, T - Kleene predicate

$R(e, x) \Leftrightarrow \forall [\exists \dots \Rightarrow \exists^{\leq bl\omega} (\dots \wedge \forall \exists \dots)]$ Hence, $R \in \Pi_2^0$. □

f - exact place in arithmetical hierarchy

Lemmas

- ▶ $Graph(f) \in \Delta_3^0$ (see paper)
- ▶ $Graph(f) \notin \Sigma_2^0$ (proved)
- ▶ $R(e, c) \Leftrightarrow_{df} c$ is a pumping constant for W_e
- ▶ R is Π_2^0 -complete (proved)

Theorem

$Graph(f) \in \Delta_3^0 - (\Sigma_2^0 \cup \Pi_2^0)$

Proof.

We show $Graph(f) \notin \Pi_2^0$. Suppose the contrary.

Now show $R \leq_T Graph(f)$. Algorithm with oracle $Graph(f)$ that computes χ_R : on input (e, x) output YES iff $(e, y) \in Graph(f)$ holds for some $y \leq x$. Hence, $\bar{R} \leq_T Graph(f)$.

Since R is Π_2^0 -complete, \bar{R} is Σ_2^0 -complete. Let $A \in \Sigma_2^0$. We have $A \leq_T \bar{R} \leq_T Graph(f)$. Then $\Sigma_2^0 \subseteq \Pi_2^0$. \downarrow □

Final remarks

- ▶ what about other input representations?
 - ▶ CFGs: f learnable
 - ▶ oracle for characteristic function
 - ▶ f learnable
 - ▶ use in language identification?
 - ▶ time bounded Turing machines
 - ▶ f learnable

Thanks for your attention!